



ACADEMIC OFFICE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI – 620 015

Ph.D. PROGRESS REVIEW FORM

Part – I

(To be filled by the Research Scholar)

Section A: Details of Registration

1.	Name of the Research Scholar	
2.	Roll Number	
3.	Department	
4.	Date of Registration	
5.	Type of Registration (<i>strike out whichever not applicable</i>)	FT: Institute Fellowship / Other Fellowship/ Non-stipendiary/Project/QIP/Staff PT: On-campus/External
6.	Name of the Guide	
7.	Name of the Co-Guide (if any)	
8.	Broad Area of Research (as decided in the 1 st DC meeting)	
9.	Date(s) of previous meetings of DC	

Section B: Progress Review

10.	Previous review date and progress (milestone) achieved as on that date					
11.	Previous comments/suggestions from DC	Excellent / Very Good / Good / Satisfactory / Poor Suggestions (if any):				
12.	Current status of research (Tick an appropriate)	Identification of research problem through literature survey	Yes	No		
		Status of the first research paper submitted	Submitted	Under Revision	Accepted	Published
		Status of the second research paper submitted	Submitted	Under Revision	Accepted	Published
		Number of papers accepted / published in SCI/SCIE/SSCI journals	Accepted		Published	
		No of Patents	Filed		Granted	

		Latest Milestone achieved (Refer to the following Table)	
13.	Plan for next six Months		

Milestones Achieved

Milestone Stages	Description	Date
1.	Successful completion of course work (CGPA >8.0)	
2.	Successful completion of comprehensive examination	
3.	Identification of research problem through literature survey	
4.	Acceptance of research paper(s)	
5.	Presentation of first seminar talk	
6.	Acceptance of research paper(s)	
7.	Presentation of second seminar talk	
8.	Submission of Synopsis	
9.	Submission of Thesis	

Attach supporting documents (1 to 9 as applicable)

Section C: Scholar's feedback

Sl. No.	Description	Feedback (Strikeout whichever not applicable)				
		Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
1.	Library Resources	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
2.	Laboratory Resources	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
3.	Opportunities for technical discussions	Excellent	V. Good	Good	Satisfactory	Poor
4.	In case any of the above is poor, give details					
5.	Details of training / workshops / conferences attended	Inside NITT			Outside NITT	

	Training programmes attended		
	Workshops attended		
	Conferences attended		
6.	In case not attended any of the above, give reasons		

Name of the Research Scholar	Signature	Date

Part – II

(To be filled by the Research Guide & Doctoral Committee)

Comments of the Guide(s)									
Progress Rating		Excellent / Very Good / Good / Satisfactory / Marginal / Poor							
Comments on the Research Progress: (specify any particular lacuna if progress is marginal or poor)									
Observations of Doctoral Committee									
Progress Rating		Excellent / Very Good / Good / Satisfactory / Marginal / Poor							
Comments on the Research Progress: (specify any particular lacuna if progress is marginal or poor)									
Milestones achieved so far by the Research Scholar <i>(Tick all applicable stages)</i>									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	

Sl. No.		Name	Signature
1.	Chairman (DC)		
2.	Internal Member		
3.	Allied Department Member		
4.	External / Internal Member		
5.	Research Guide		
6.	Co-Guide (if any)		

Part – III

(To be signed by the Research Scholar)

I have noted the comments / suggestions given by the guide and the Doctoral Committee.

Signature of the Research Scholar with Date

Forwarded by the HoD

Signature of the HoD with Date

Copy to:

1. Academic Office (PhD section)
2. Chairman, Doctoral Committee
3. HoD
4. Research Guide(s)
5. Research Scholar